Astrophysics and Space Science
DOI 10.1007/s●●●●●-●●●-●●●●-●

Impact of the short-term luminosity evolution on luminosity function of star-forming galaxies

S. L. Parnovsky

arXiv:1510.05530v1 [astro-ph.GA] 19 Oct 2015

© Springer-Verlag ●●●●

Abstract An evolution of luminosity of galaxies in emission lines or wavelength ranges in which they are sensitive to the star formation process is caused by burning out of the most massive O-class stars during a few million years after a starburst. We study the impact of this effect on the luminosity function (LF) of a sample of star-forming galaxies.

We introduce several types of LFs: an initial LF after a starburst, current, time-averaged and sample ones. We find the relations between them in general and specify them in the case of the luminosity evolution law proposed for the luminous compact galaxies. We obtain the sample LF for the cases the initial one is described by the pure Schechter function or the log-normal distribution and analyze the properties of these LFs. As a result we get two new types of LFs to fit the LF of a sample of star-forming galaxies.

Keywords Galaxies: luminosity function, mass function — Galaxies: starburst — Galaxies: star formation

1 Introduction

The luminosity function (LF) is a very important statistical characteristic of galaxy populations. The LFs provide information about galaxies and their evolution on the cosmological timescale. In this paper we consider the LF of the galaxies with an active star formation in the wave range or emission line in which the lion share of radiation provides by a young stellar population. More exactly we consider the radiation of galaxies shortly after a starburst. These galaxies underwent strong bursts of star formation on a time scale of a few Myr. Massive young stellar populations, which were formed during the burst, emit plenty of ionising and non-ionising UV radiation. Later on, the Hα and UV luminosities strongly decrease with time after a starburst age $T_0 \approx 3.2$ Myr, when the most massive stars start to fade out.

Naturally, this is resulted in the changes of the observed LF. This fact affects the LF in the wavelength ranges and emission lines which are good indicators of a star formation, while the LF in the optical range keeps its form. This effect is mostly pronounced for the Hα, far ultraviolet (FUV), near ultraviolet (NUV) and far infrared (FIR) luminosities. We allow that taking into account the short-term luminosity evolution we can derive a more adequate form of the LF of a sample of star-forming galaxies.

The impact of the fading of galaxy luminosities on LF can be quite significant. We describe it for the arbitrary initial luminosity distribution and arbitrary luminosity evolution. To study the impact of the factor under consideration we introduced the initial, the current, the time-averaged, and the sample LFs. In Section 2.1 we derive relations between all these LFs in general case of an arbitrary luminosity evolution. As a rule we consider galaxies with a single knot of star formation, but this LF can be applied to galaxies with several knots of star formation, too. In section 2.2 we specify these relations for the luminosity evolution of luminous compact galaxies (LCG) discussed by Parnovsky et al. (2013). In the sections 3.1 and 3.2 we use the popular forms of LFs, namely the Schechter function and the log-normal one as the initial LFs and derive and analyze the corresponding LFs. Our findings are summarised in Section 4.

S. L. Parnovsky

Astronomical Observatory of Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University
Observatorna str., 3, 04058, Kyiv, Ukraine
tel: +380444860021, fax: +380444862191
e-mail:par@observ.univ.kiev.ua

2 Impact of the luminosity evolution

The luminosity of the galaxy $L(T)$ is maximal one just after a starburst and then decreases due to burning out of short-living massive stellar population:

$$L(T) = L_0 f(T),\ f(0) = 1, \tag{1}$$

where T is time after the starburst and L_0 is the luminosity at $T = 0$.

The function $f(T)$ for the sample of 795 LCGs was derived by Parnovsky et al. (2013). This sample includes a very extreme population of compact galaxies with very high equivalent widths, representing the high-end tail of the LF of the overall star-forming population. All galaxies in it underwent a burst of star formation less that 6 Myr ago. So the radiation caused by the burst-like star formation prevails (see discussion in Sargent et al 2012). Parnovsky et al. (2013) found that the luminosities in the Hα emission line, FUV and NUV continuum are described by the relation

$$f(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T \le T_0; \\ \exp(-p(T - T_0)) & \text{if } T > T_0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with $T_0 = 3.2$ Myr and different values of the parameter p (see details in Parnovsky et al. 2013). The same function is valid for the luminosities in the 22 μm IR band, calculated using *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE) data and probably for the radio emission at 1.4 GHz (Parnovsky & Izotova 2015).

For generality we start with studying the case of arbitrary $f(T)$. We will specify the relation (2) later. We try to determine the effect of the short-term luminosity evolution on the observed LF of some sample of galaxies $n(L)$. In order to do this we need some additional types of LFs. We introduce and consider the initial $\eta(L_0)$, the current $\xi(L,T)$, the time-averaged $\varphi(L)$, and the sample $n(L)$ LFs.

2.1 The relations between initial, current, time-averaged and sample luminosity functions

We assume that star formation in galaxies from a sample occurs in short bursts separated by large periods without star formation, which are much greater than the lifetimes of stars producing a main part of ionizing UV radiation. Then, Hα, FUV and NUV luminosities greatly decrease before the next consecutive starburst. We also assume for simplicity that all galaxies have only single star-forming region.

The initial luminosity distribution $\eta(L_0)$ describes the distribution of the galaxy' luminosities L_0 immediately after the termination of the star formation burst. We consider all L_0 values for galaxies entering a unit volume and describe their distribution by the function $\eta(L_0)$. Here $\eta(L_0)dL_0$ is the number of starbursts per unit volume with the initial luminosity in the interval from L_0 to L_0+dL_0. The function $\eta(L_0)$ would coincide with the luminosity function $\varphi(L)$ if there were no temporal luminosity variations. This is a typical situation for the visible wavelength range, in which the radiation from long-lived old stellar populations is dominated. The similar situation occurs in the case of large galaxies with the continuous star formation, when the individual bursts of star formation hardly affect the total luminosity. On the other hand, the Hα, FUV and NUV luminosities in the LCGs are produced by the radiation of the young stellar population (Parnovsky et al. 2013). Therefore they vary on short time scales after the bursts of star formation.

After the time interval T after the starburst the initial LF $\eta(L_0)$ turns into the time-dependent current LF $\xi(L,T)$ because of decrease of galaxy luminosities. Roughly speaking, the current LF is what the initial one becomes after time T. The LF $\xi(L,T)dL$ is the number of galaxies per unit volume in the luminosity interval from L to $L + dL$ at time T after a starburst.

The galaxies from the sample are observed at different phases of luminosity evolution, i.e. with different time after the starburst T. Parnovsky et al. (2013) have shown that the galaxies from the sample with $T < 5.3$ Myr are approximately uniformly distributed over starburst ages T. In this case an averaging over the sample corresponds to a time-averaging and therefore the time-averaged current LF $\xi(L,T)$ coincides with the LF $\varphi(L)$, which we will call the time-averaged LF. Here $\varphi(L)dL$ is the number of galaxies per unit volume in the luminosity interval from L to $L + dL$.

Consider the case of monotonous luminosity decrease according to (1) with $df/dT < 0$. Using the relationship (1) we find

$$\xi(L,T) = \eta(L_0) f(T)^{-1} = \eta\left(L f(T)^{-1}\right) f(T)^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

This current LF should be averaged over the time to find the function $\varphi(L)$:

$$\varphi(L) = \frac{1}{\Delta T}\int_0^{\Delta T} \eta\left(L f(T)^{-1}\right) f(T)^{-1} dT. \tag{4}$$

We apply the LF averaging over a time period ΔT, which is much larger than the typical starburst age of the LCGs. Instead of the time integration we apply an integration over L_0. In the case of constant L we obtain the relation $f(T)dL_0 = -L_0\dot{f}(T)dT$ from Eq. (1), where $\dot{f}(T)$ is the time derivative. Thus,

$$dT = -\frac{f(T)dL_0}{\dot{f}(T)L_0}, \tag{5}$$

where dT is a time interval during which galaxies with initial luminosities from L_0 to L_0+dL_0 have the current luminosity L. Then

$$\varphi(L) = \frac{1}{\Delta T}\int_L^{L_{\max}} \frac{\eta(L_0)dL_0}{L_0|\dot{f}(T)|}. \quad (6)$$

Here $L_{\max}$ is the maximum initial luminosity of galaxies in the sample. It can be assigned as $L_{\max}=\infty$ because $\eta(L_0)$ is a rapidly decreasing function at large L_0.

If a function $f(T)$ is constant at $T \le T_0$ and decreases monotonously after T_0, Eq. (6) is transformed to

$$\varphi(L) = (\Delta T)^{-1}\left(\int_L^{\infty} \frac{\eta(L_0)dL_0}{L_0|\dot{f}(T)|} + T_0\eta(L)\right). \quad (7)$$

The number of galaxies from the sample with the luminosity in the interval from L to $L+dL$ is $Nn(L)dL$. Here N is the number of galaxies entering the sample and $n(L)$ is a normalized LF of the sample. To obtain the function $n(L)$ we multiply the function $\varphi(L)$ by the volume $V(L)$ occupied by the sample galaxies with the luminosity L. The flux-limited sample includes galaxies up to the photometric distance $r=(L/4\pi F)^{1/2}$, where F is the minimal flux, if extinction is not present. Considering flat space and neglecting the differences between the photometric distance and other types of distances in cosmology, we obtain that the volume $V(L)$ is proportional to r^3 and correspondingly to $L^{3/2}$.

Thus, we assume $V(L)\propto L^{3/2}$ for flux-limited samples with small redshifts z. For the samples with $z \gtrsim 1$ one ought to use the exact expression for $V(L)$ from the relativistic cosmology, e.g. from the ΛCDM model. Nevertheless, the simplified version with $V(L)\propto L^{3/2}$ has some advantage. Its simple form allows to obtain some useful relations like (14).

For the flux-limited samples with small z we obtain the sample LF

$$n(L) = \mathrm{const}\times L^{3/2}\left(\eta(L) + T_0^{-1}\int_L^{\infty}\frac{\eta(L_0)dL_0}{L_0|\dot{f}(T)|}\right), \quad (8)$$

where the constant is derived from the normalisation condition

$$\int_0^{\infty} n(L)dL = 1. \quad (9)$$

2.2 Sample LF for the luminosity evolution law (2)

Substituting $f(T)$ from Eq. (2) into Eq. (8) we obtain

$$n(L) = C\left(L^{3/2}\eta(L) + qL^{1/2}\int_L^{\infty}\eta(L_0)dL_0\right), \quad (10)$$

where $C=\mathrm{const}$ and

$$q = (T_0 p)^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

The parameter q has a simple astronomical meaning. Hα and UV luminosities of a galaxy are constant during the period T_0, which is approximately equal to the lifetime of O stars. After that time luminosities decrease by a factor of $\exp(-q^{-1})$ during every next time period T_0.

There are two marginal cases of Eq. (10). The luminosity function is reduced to the standard one ($q\to 0$) if $T_0\to\infty$ (constant luminosities) or $p\to\infty$ (step, $f(T)=\theta(T_0-T)$, where θ is the Heaviside step function). The first term in brackets in Eq. (10) vanishes ($q\to\infty$) if $T_0\to 0$ (monotonous decrease without a plateau) or $p\to 0$ (very slow decrease). For the Hα luminosity function $p\approx 0.65$ Myr^{-1} (Parnovsky et al. 2013) and $q\approx 0.5$. For the FUV and the NUV luminosity functions the values of p are smaller and the values of q are larger. Therefore, the initial and time-averaged Hα, FUV and NUV luminosity functions for star-forming galaxies are expected to be different.

Let us denote LFs for these cases as $n_1(L) = L^{3/2}\eta(L)$ and $n_2(L) = L^{1/2}\int_L^{\infty} x^{-3/2}n_1(x)dx$. The function $n_1(L)$ is the LF of the sample without the luminosity evolution, i.e. in the case of constant galaxy luminosities. Taking into account the luminosity evolution we obtain (10), which can be rewritten in the form

$$n(L) = C\left(n_1(L) + qn_2(L)\right). \quad (12)$$

There is no point in considering the case $q<0$ as a real LF, but we can do this formally to analyze the properties of sample LF at $q\ge 0$. Let us denote the mean value of some quantity $F(L)$ over the sample as

$$\langle F\rangle = \int_0^{\infty} F(L)n(L)dL. \quad (13)$$

The relation

$$\int_0^{\infty} L^s n_2(L)dL = \frac{1}{s+3/2}\int_0^{\infty} L^s n_1(L)dL \quad (14)$$

is valid for $s=\mathrm{const}$ if these integrals are convergent, in particular at $s\ge 0$. As a result we have the equation

$$\langle L^s\rangle = \frac{1+\frac{2q}{3+2s}}{1+\frac{2q}{3}}\frac{\int_0^{\infty} L^s n_1(L)dL}{\int_0^{\infty} n_1(L)dL} \quad (15)$$

connecting the sample averages of L^s for LF n taken into account affect of short-term luminosity evolution (2) and LF n_1 for the sample with invariable luminosities. Eq. (15) at $s=1$ connects the sample average luminosities. At $s=2,3,\ldots$ it helps in calculation variance, skewness, kurtosis, etc.

3 LF for some standard distributions of initial luminosities after a starburst

Let us try two popular functions often used as a functional form of LF as a function $n_1(L)$ in (12). The first one is the Schechter function (Schechter 1976), which is also known in the mathematical statistics as the gamma distribution

$$\varphi(L) \propto (L/L^*)^\alpha \exp(-L/L^*). \tag{16}$$

Parameters α and L^* are determined from the shape of this function. The slope in the $(\ln\varphi(L), \ln L)$ plane is equal to α for $L \ll L^*$ and changes rapidly at $L \approx L^*$.

According to Gunawardhana et al. (2013), a much better fit for LF of Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA) galaxies with $z < 0.35$ is provided by the Saunders distribution (Saunders et al. 1990)

$$\begin{gathered} n_1(L) = \text{const} \times u^\beta \exp\left(-A \lg^2(1+u)\right), \\ u = L/L_s^*, \quad A = 1/(2\sigma^2). \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

Here lg is the decimal logarithm and $\sigma, L_s^* = \text{const}$. Note that Saunders et al. (1990) used the notation L^* in (17). We add the subscript s to distinguish this quantity from the L^* in (16). If the optimal value of L_s^* in (17) is small and the majority of the sample galaxies have luminosities $L_i \gg L_s^*$, this distribution can be simplified. Actually any value $L_s^* \ll \min(L_i)$ is acceptable. In this case we can drop the unity in the $(1+u)$ term in Eq. (17) and we yield the two-parametric log-normal distribution

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(L) &= \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4a}\right) \tilde{L}^{-1} \exp\left(-a \ln^2(L/\tilde{L})\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4a}\right) \tilde{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{L}{\tilde{L}}\right)^{-a \ln\left(L/\tilde{L}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Here

$$a = A(\ln 10)^{-2}, \quad \ln \tilde{L} = \ln L_s^* + \beta/(2a). \tag{19}$$

In the marginal case $L_i \gg L_s^*$ the set of three parameters (L_s^*, A, β) can be redused to the the set of two parameters $(\tilde{L}, a)$. Only the combination of L_s^* and β gives the authentic parameter $\tilde{L}$.

From (8) and (9) we can obtain the LFs for the sample of star-forming galaxies with initial luminosities distributed according to the Schechter (16) and log-normal (18) functions.

3.1 Luminosity function for the Schechter distribution of initial luminosities

Assume the initial LF is described by the pure Schechter function

$$\eta(L_0) \propto (L_0/L^*)^\alpha \exp(-L_0/L^*). \tag{20}$$

We denote the ratio $u = L/L^*$ and substitute Eq. (20) into Eq. (10). The integral in Eq. (10) becomes the incomplete gamma-function, (see e.g. Bateman & Erdélyi 1953)

$$\Gamma(\alpha+1, u) = \int_u^\infty x^\alpha e^{-x} dx. \tag{21}$$

The constant C is obtained from the condition Eq. (9). The final form of the distribution Eq. (10) with the condition Eq. (20) is

$$n(L) = \frac{u^{\alpha+3/2} e^{-u} + q u^{1/2} \Gamma(\alpha+1, u)}{L^*(1+2q/3)\Gamma(\alpha+5/2)}, u = \frac{L}{L^*}. \tag{22}$$

It has three parameters q, α and L^*. The relation (15) is also useful for calculation of the moments of this distribution, e.g. its mean value

$$\langle L \rangle = \frac{3}{5} L^* \left(\alpha + \frac{5}{2}\right) \frac{5+2q}{3+2q}, \tag{23}$$

variance, skewness, kurtosis, etc. Note that the mean value decreases with increasing q, as well as

$$\langle L^s \rangle = \frac{3(3+2s+2q)}{(3+2s)(3+2q)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+s+5/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+5/2)} (L^*)^s. \tag{24}$$

The example of this LF one can see in Fig. 1. We plot the graphs of dependence of $n(L)$ (solid line), n_1 (short-dashed line) and n_2 (long-dashed line) vs L for two sets of parameters. Thick grey lines correspond to the set $q = 0.48$, $L^* = 8.5 \times 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $\alpha = -0.88$ and thin black ones to the set $q = 2.0$, $L^* = 8.08 \times 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $\alpha = -0.51$. These sets are obtained by fitting the LF of the sample of about 800 LCG, used by (Parnovsky et al. 2013).

We see that these two different sets provide practically the same LFs $n(L)$. Naturally, this fact causes some problems for fitting the LF obtain from observations by function (22). Let us show that this situation is typical. Suppose we know the values of $\langle L \rangle$, $\langle L^2 \rangle$ and $\langle L^3 \rangle$ for the function (22). We can obtain the set of parameters q, α and L^* for this case. From (23) we have

$$L^* = \left(\alpha + \frac{5}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{1+2q/3}{1+2q/5} \langle L \rangle \tag{25}$$

and from (23),(24) we have

$$L^* = \left(\alpha + \frac{7}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{1+2q/5}{1+2q/7} \frac{\langle L^2 \rangle}{\langle L \rangle}, \tag{26}$$

$$L^* = \left(\alpha + \frac{9}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{1+2q/7}{1+2q/9} \frac{\langle L^3 \rangle}{\langle L^2 \rangle}. \tag{27}$$




Fig. 1 The LF $n(L)$ (solid line) and the summands in (12) (dashed lines with short and long dashes) for distribution (22) with two sets of parameters. Thick grey lines correspond to the set $q = 0.48$, $L^* = 8.5{\cdot}10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $\alpha = -0.88$ and thin black ones to the set $q = 2.0$, $L^* = 8.08 \cdot 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $\alpha = -0.51$. Solid lines practically coincide. As a result, LF can be fitted by the functions (22) with two different sets of parameters. This fact complicates the approximation of LFs by this function




Fig. 2 The LF $n(L)$ (solid line) and the summands in (12) (dashed lines with short and long dashes) for distribution (28) with parameters $q = 0.48$, $\tilde{L} = 4.9 \cdot 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1} and $a = 0.73$ are drawn by thin black lines. The solid thick grey line corresponds to the distribution (22) with $q = 0.48$, $L^* = 8.5 \cdot 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $\alpha = -0.88$ plotted in the Fig. 1. Both distributions provides the best fit of LF of the sample of 795 LCGs by different functions

Equating these formulas we obtain two equations with two unknown quantities q and α. Combining them we obtain an equation of fourth degree for q.

If some set of parameters q_1, α_1 and L_1^* provides a suitable fit of sample LF by function (22) one can calculate the values of $\langle L\rangle$, $\langle L^2\rangle$ and $\langle L^3\rangle$ for this function and these parameters according to (13) and derive an equation for q. This equation of fourth degree must have at least one other solution with the exception of special case of multiple root. So, this solution provides other set of parameters q_2, α_2 and L_2^* with the same $\langle L\rangle$, $\langle L^2\rangle$, $\langle L^3\rangle$ and near the same values of higher moments of a frequency distribution.

If $q_2 > 0$ then this set also provides a good fit of the sample LF. Both distributions are practically coincident, despite the fact that they are characterised by considerably different sets of parameters. Naturally, if the distance between roots is small this leads to a complex form of the 1σ confidence region in the three-dimensional parameter space and the strong correlation between the parameters for all methods of fitting, i.e. the maximum likelihood method (MLM) (Hudson 1964; Fisher 1954). The marginal errors of these parameters obtained from the orthogonal projection on (L^*, α) plane are also large.

Therefore, the MLM and other methods of fitting are almost useless to find all three parameters of the luminosity function (22) in the case of two positive roots of equation for q. This situation occurs in processing the luminosity data for the sample of LCG used by (Parnovsky et al. 2013). However, if the value of q is fixed e.g. by the condition (11) the MLM reproduces quite well the values of other two parameters.

Note that as a by-product we obtain the method of estimating the values of parameters q, α and L^* that fit the sample LF by function (22). One can calculate the values of $\langle L\rangle$, $\langle L^2\rangle$ and $\langle L^3\rangle$ for the sample and use them in Eqs. (25-27).

3.2 Luminosity function for the log-normal distribution of initial luminosities

After substituting (18) into (12) one can find the sample LF with log-normal initial LF

$$n(L) = \tilde{L}^{-1}\left(1+\frac{2q}{3}\right)^{-1}\times \\ \times\left[\left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4a}\right)\exp\left(-a\ln^2(L/\tilde{L})\right)+\right. \\ +\frac{q}{2}\exp\left(-\frac{3}{16a}\right)\left(\frac{L}{\tilde{L}}\right)^{1/2}\times \\ \left.\times\mathrm{erfc}\left(\sqrt{a}\ln\left(\frac{L}{\tilde{L}}\right)+\frac{1}{4\sqrt{a}}\right)\right]. \quad (28)$$

Here

$$\mathrm{erfc}(x) = 1-\mathrm{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_x^\infty e^{-t^2}dt. \quad (29)$$

In Fig. 2 this function and its summands are plotted for the set of the parameters $\tilde{L} = 4.9\cdot 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, $a = 0.73$, providing the best fit of LF of the sample of 795 LCGs. The best fit by the function (22) with (11) is shown by thick grey line. The first summand corresponds to the log-normal distribution (18).

The sample average values of L^s are

$$\langle L^s\rangle = \frac{1+\frac{2q}{3+2s}}{1+\frac{2q}{3}}\exp\left(\frac{s^2+2s}{4a}\right)\tilde{L}^s. \quad (30)$$

From (30) we get

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1+2q/3}{1+2q/5}\exp\left(-\frac{3}{4a}\right)\langle L\rangle, \quad (31)$$

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1+2q/5}{1+2q/7}\exp\left(-\frac{5}{4a}\right)\frac{\langle L^2\rangle}{\langle L\rangle}, \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1+2q/7}{1+2q/9}\exp\left(-\frac{7}{4a}\right)\frac{\langle L^3\rangle}{\langle L^2\rangle}. \quad (33)$$

Dividing the product of (31) and (33) by the square of (32) we obtain an equation of fourth degree for q

$$\left(1+\frac{2q}{7}\right)^3\left(1+\frac{2q}{3}\right) = G\left(1+\frac{2q}{5}\right)^3\left(1+\frac{2q}{9}\right), \\ G = \frac{\langle L^2\rangle^3}{\langle L\rangle^3\langle L^3\rangle}. \quad (34)$$

It would seem that one can repeat the mention above argument. If there exists a set of parameters $\tilde{L}_1$, a_1 and q_1 providing a good fit a LF of sample by function (28) there have to exist other set of parameters $\tilde{L}_2$, a_2 and q_2 also providing the same grade of fitting. Nevertheless, this situation never occurs for fitting by function (28). For real luminosities the value of G is near 1. For example for the sample of about 800 LCGs from Parnovsky et al. (2013) we have $G = 1.0133$. In this case one root of (33) is negative $q_2 \approx -3$ and it does not interfere the fitting. Other root is positive at $G > 1$ and negative at $G < 1$. For the sample of 795 LCGs we get $q_1 = 0.15$. This is less that the formula (11) predicts. But $q_1 > 0$ means that the function (28) yields a better fit compared to the case of (28) at $q = 0$ i.e. the log-normal distribution (18).

There is no problem to use MLM or other methods of fitting to obtain a set of parameters of (28).

4 Summary

The luminosity evolution affects the LF in the wavelength ranges and lines which are good indicators of the star formation, i.e. Hα emission line, the UV continuum and IR radiation because of the fading of galaxy luminosities on the time scale of several Myr after a starburst.

We introduce and consider the initial luminosity function $\eta(L)$, the current luminosity function $\xi(L,T)$, the time-averaged luminosity function $\varphi(L)$ and the sample LF $n(L)$. For the typical time-dependence (1) we yield the relations (3) and (7) between them. We specify this relation for the luminosity evolution in the form (2) and analyze the obtained formula (10).

We consider the sample LF for the case when the initial LF is described by the gamma-distribution also known as the Schechter function (20). The sample LF is described by the distribution (22). There is a problem with this distribution, which complicates an approximation of the real data by the formula (22). The distribution (22) is quite similar for the different sets of parameters q, α and L^* with the essentially different individual parameters. This fact obstructs the usage of the maximal likelihood method or other methods of approximation. The confidence region in the parameter space can be very prolate.

In the case of initial log-normal distribution (18) we obtain the sample LF in the form (28). There is no problem to use MLM or other methods of fitting to obtain a set of parameters of this function.

Thus, we get two new types of LFs (22) and (28) to fit the LF of a sample of star-forming galaxies. One can used it to fit the LF of a sample of star-forming galaxies. These distributions have been used to fit the sample LF of LCGs studied by Parnovsky et al. (2013). The results will be present in the next paper with an extended author list.

References

Bateman, H., & Erdélyi A. 1953, "Higher transcendental functions", McGraw-Hill: New York, Toronto, London

Fisher, R. A. 1954, "Statistical methods for research workers", Oliver and Boyd: London

Gunawardhana, M. L. P. et al., 2013, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 433, 2764

Hudson, D. J. 1964, "Statistics Lectures on Elementary Statistics and Probability", CERN: Geneva

Parnovsky, S.L., Izotova, I.Yu., & Izotov, Y.I. 2013, Astrophys. Space Sci., 343, 361

Parnovsky, S.L., Izotova, I.Yu. 2015, Astron. Nachr., 336, 276

Sargent, M. T., Béthermin, M., Daddi, E., & Elbaz, D. 2012, Astrophys. J. Lett., 747, L31

Saunders, W., Rowan-Robinson, M., Lawrence, A., et al. 1990, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 242, 318

Schechter, P. 1976, Astrophys. J., 203, 297

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.